

UNITED STATES GEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 07807/006001 BAUER n6/03/96 08/659.046 EXAMINER 32M1/0926 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT CASPAR C SCHNEIDER FISH & RICHARDSON SUITE 2860 3204 45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10111 DATE MAILED: 09/26/96 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This action is made final. Responsive to communication filed on_____ This application has been examined A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _____month(s), ______days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. 1. X Claims / ~ 1/ Of the above, claims ______ are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims 3. Claims are rejected. 5. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. _. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ____ _____. has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ______ has been approved; approved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received □ been filed in parent application, serial no. ______; filed on ____ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

-2-

Serial Number: 08/659,046

Art Unit: 3204

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a process for cutting, classified in Class 83, subclass 13

Group II. Claims 6-10, drawn to a cutting tool, classified in Class 83, subclass 673.

Group III. Claim 11, drawn to a body, classified in Class 606.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process; for example, each of the forceps of Figure 3 can be used alone to perform many known processes, particularly surgical processes.

-3-

Serial Number: 08/659,046

Art Unit: 3204

3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process; for example, a body having a non-circular cross section can be made by many known process including molding or casting, assembling, etc.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(g)). In this case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus; for example, a body having a non-circular cross section can be made by many known devices or tools, such as casting molds, various types of cutting machines, etc.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by

-4-

Serial Number: 08/659,046

Art Unit: 3204

their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

<u>Species</u>

6. Further, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed apparatus of Group II as follows:

Group IIa: Figures 1a-1b;

Group IIb: Figures 2a-2c;

Group IIc: Figure 3;

Group IId: Figure 4;

Group IIe: Figure 5; and

Group IIf: Figure 6.

Upon an initial election of Group II, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 6 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must

-5-

Serial Number: 08/659,046

Art Unit: 3204

indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

C. Dexter

September 24, 1996

RINALDI I. RADA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3200